1	HONORABLE FRANKLIN D. BURGESS
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
10	AT TACOMA
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )
12	) Plaintiff, ) CASE NO. CR05-5410FDB
13	v. )
14	) ORDER FOR CONTINUANCE RUBEN BAEZ-MANCILLA, ) OF TRIAL DATE
15	) Defendant. )
16	
17	Before this court is a stipulated motion for continuance of the trial date currently set for
18	February 27, 2006. The Court finds that after consideration of all relevant information and the
19	facts and circumstances of this case, that, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section
20	3161(h)(8)(B)(iv), failure to grant a continuance in this case, which, taken as a whole, is not so
21   22	unusual or so complex as to fall within the clause (ii), would deny counsel for the defendant the
	reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, due to the fact that defense counsel has
23 24	Stipulated Motion and LAW OFFICES OF Order for Continuance - 1 KRUPA & CLARK
25	Order for Continuance - 1 KRUPA & CLARK 1008 S. Yakima Ave., Ste. 100 Tacoma, WA 98405
26	(253) 573-1000 Fax (253) 428-0330
	1 41 (255) 120 0550

## Case 3:05-cr-05410-FDB Document 79 Filed 03/01/06 Page 2 of 3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

recently been appointed in this case and needs time to review the evidence, to consider possible defenses, and to discuss possible resolution of the case with the government, taking into account the exercise of due diligence; This Court finds, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(8)(B)(I), that failure to grant such a continuance in the proceeding would be likely to make a continuation of such proceeding impossible, or result in a miscarriage of justice. This court finds, therefore, that pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(8)(A), the ends of justice will best be served by a continuance, and that they outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Failure to grant a continuance under these circumstances would result in a miscarriage of justice. The ends of justice would best be served by granting the motion for continuance. For these reasons, the Court finds that the stipulated motion for continuance should be granted. The previously scheduled trial date is hereby VACATED. Now, therefore, it is hereby ordered that the trial date is continued to May 22, 2006 at 9:00 a.m., with a pretrial conference on May 11, 2006 at 3:00 p.m. The pretrial motions due date is extended to March 21, 2006. // // // // // // LAW OFFICES OF Stipulated Motion and Order for Continuance - 2 KRUPA & CLARK 1008 S. Yakima Ave., Ste. 100 Tacoma, WA 98405

(253) 573-1000

Fax (253) 428-0330

## Case 3:05-cr-05410-FDB Document 79 Filed 03/01/06 Page 3 of 3

1	The period of delay resulting from this continuance from February 27, 2006, up to and including
2	the new trial date of May 22, 2006 is excluded in computing the time within which a trial must be
3	held pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161, et. seq.
4	IT IS SO ORDERED
5	DONE this 1st day of March, 2006.
6	
7	
8	
9	fall
10	
11	FRANKLIN D. BURGESS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12	
13	By:/s/ Steven J. Krupa
14	Steven J. Krupa. WSB 23997
Attorney for Defendant	
16	Approved Telephonically: 02/ <u>21</u> /2006
17	U.S. Attorney's Office
18	By:
19	Matthew H. Thomas. WSB 20075 Assistant United States Attorney
20	Assistant Onica States Attorney
21	
22	
23	
24	Stipulated Motion and LAW OFFICES OF Order for Continuance - 3 KRUPA & CLARK
25	1008 S. Yakima Ave., Ste. 100 Tacoma, WA 98405
26	(253) 573-1000 Fax (253) 428-0330